

# **Oracle Insurance for Health**

## **Database/Application Server configuration**

version 1.1

Part number: E39878\_01

March 2013

Copyright © 2011, 2013, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

This software and related documentation are provided under a license agreement containing restrictions on use and disclosure and are protected by intellectual property laws. Except as expressly permitted in your license agreement or allowed by law, you may not use, copy, reproduce, translate, broadcast, modify, license, transmit, distribute, exhibit, perform, publish, or display any part, in any form, or by any means. Reverse engineering, disassembly, or decompilation of this software, unless required by law for interoperability, is prohibited.

The information contained herein is subject to change without notice and is not warranted to be error-free. If you find any errors, please report them to us in writing.

If this software or related documentation is delivered to the U.S. Government or anyone licensing it on behalf of the U.S. Government, the following notice is applicable:

#### **U.S. GOVERNMENT RIGHTS**

Programs, software, databases, and related documentation and technical data delivered to U.S. Government customers are “commercial computer software” or “commercial technical data” pursuant to the applicable Federal Acquisition Regulation and agency-specific supplemental regulations. As such, the use, duplication, disclosure, modification, and adaptation shall be subject to the restrictions and license terms set forth in the applicable Government contract, and, to the extent applicable by the terms of the Government contract, the additional rights set forth in FAR 52.227-19, Commercial Computer Software License (December 2007). Oracle USA, Inc., 500 Oracle Parkway, Redwood City, CA 94065.

This software is developed for general use in a variety of information management applications. It is not developed or intended for use in any inherently dangerous applications, including applications which may create a risk of personal injury. If you use this software in dangerous applications, then you shall be responsible to take all appropriate fail-safe, backup, redundancy, and other measures to ensure the safe use of this software. Oracle Corporation and its affiliates disclaim any liability for any damages caused by use of this software in dangerous applications.

Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

This software and documentation may provide access to or information on content, products, and services from third parties. Oracle Corporation and its affiliates are not responsible for and expressly disclaim all warranties of any kind with respect to third-party content, products, and services. Oracle Corporation and its affiliates will not be responsible for any loss, costs, or damages incurred due to your access to or use of third-party content, products, or services.

Where an Oracle offering includes third party content or software, we may be required to include related notices. For information on third party notices and the software and related documentation in connection with which they need to be included, please contact the attorney from the Development and Strategic Initiatives Legal Group that supports the development team for the Oracle offering. Contact information can be found on the Attorney Contact Chart.

The information contained in this document is for informational sharing purposes only and should be considered in your capacity as a customer advisory board member or pursuant to your beta trial agreement only. It is not a commitment to deliver any material, code, or functionality, and should not be relied upon in making purchasing decisions. The development, release, and timing of any features or functionality described in this document remains at the sole discretion of Oracle.

This document in any form, software or printed matter, contains proprietary information that is the exclusive property of Oracle. Your access to and use of this confidential material is subject to the terms and conditions of your Oracle Software License and Service Agreement, which has been executed and with which you agree to comply. This document and information contained herein may not be disclosed, copied, reproduced, or distributed to anyone outside Oracle without prior written consent of Oracle. This document is not part of your license agreement nor can it be incorporated into any contractual agreement with Oracle or its subsidiaries or affiliates.

# CHANGE HISTORY

Release	Version	Changes
10.12.2.0.0.0	1.1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Checked translation</li></ul>

---

## Introduction

This document describes the most important aspects that must be considered when setting up a production configuration for Oracle Health Insurance Backoffice.

This document specifically discusses the choice between one or two production servers; after all, from a technical perspective a client can choose to install both the Database Server software and the Application Server software on one physical machine, or to set up two separate machines connected by a very fast, dedicated network connection. In addition to this type of 'functional' separation across two machines, other divisions are possible in which more than one machine is used as a server, but this would involve true cluster set-ups, such as those used to implement a Grid configuration. This document does not discuss this type of cluster-based solution.

The initial, standard advice to new clients is to use two separate production server machines that are connected to each other by a fast, dedicated network connection. This type of set-up offers more flexibility and options for expansion but certainly has its disadvantages too. It is up to the client to decide which aspects play the most significant role, before a choice is made.



**Please note:** The choice for separate servers for the Acceptance environments to provide separation from Production environments is a separate issue to the considerations described here.

Oracle Health Insurance recommends that the Production and Acceptance environments are physically separated from each other at all times.

---

## Categories

A number of possible positive or negative considerations in relation to 1 or 2 servers are shown in the following table:

Category	1 Server	2 Servers
Physical	+ Less space needed.	+ More space needed; requires additional network cards and connection and overhead infrastructure (extra power, UPS).
Operating System installation	+ Less effort required, also in relation to network set up.	+ In principle two different platforms can be used if desired/required (more freedom of choice).
Oracle software installation	- Slightly more complex due to keeping database and application software separate (risk of errors exists).	
Implementation		

Category	1 Server	2 Servers
Backup & Recovery		+ In principle only the database server needs to run backups regularly as this is where the data is stored. The contents of the application server only need to be backed up after changes to the software or configuration. - The static data (software / configuration) on two machines must be included in the backup after changes have been made.
Startup & Shutdown	+ Startup/shutdown on 1 server brings the entire environment up/down making it easier to achieve complete control.	- Synchronization is important as the environments are active on both servers simultaneously. The batch handling processes on the application server can only be started after the correct processes have been started on the database server.
Access Control & Security Privileges	+ Simpler - The database server is accessible to end users (internal and/or external).	+ Only the Application Server has to be made accessible to end-users (internal and/or external). This means that it is easier to protect the data on the database server. + In principle only a true DBA needs rights on the database server.
Performance Tuning & Monitoring	+ Simpler, but fewer options. - Competition between various types of processes fighting for the same resources is more difficult to avoid and prioritization of such processes in relation to one another is more difficult to achieve. This is one of the main reasons for recommending two servers.	+ Servers can be tuned for specific Application and Database tasks. + Specific Load Balancing for various tasks can be achieved by simply splitting the tasks between two machines.
Capacity Planning	+ The full capacity can be utilized for both online screen work and for batch processing. + Simpler to expand through virtualization on a large server that can be sized 'on demand'.	- An unequal distribution of the work (one server is heavily loaded while the other is doing almost nothing) cannot easily be corrected. In particular Backoffice batch processing, which mainly involves the database, will make very little of the application server. Because of this the database server must be more heavily dimensioned for this aim as standard than if a single server were chosen.
Upgrade, Migration & Version Control		+ 1 server available in the event of upgrade to the other server (i.e. database can still be accessed during maintenance of the application server or, as a back-up, everything can be run on 1 server if the server is undergoing a major upgrade).
Space & Storage Management		- Output that is generated from the database must be saved on a shared file system that can be accessed by both servers. This is because the results must be accessible via the application server. Additional work is required setting up the file system for the servers.
License Control		+ CPU based licensing of database and server licenses may prove to be cheaper.

Category	1 Server	2 Servers
Networking	+ Oracle*Net configuration is in a single location for both environments.	- Oracle*Net configuration required on both servers (although not a strict necessity).
Pricing  Please note: Very significantly dependent on the client's requirements, incl. in relation to administration, availability & scalability.	+ Often cheaper for smaller, less complex environments.	+ Often cheaper for larger, more complex environments (two cheaper machines with the same total capacity are often cheaper than a higher range model. Another option is to purchase a number of cheaper application servers and 1 powerful database server. The administration costs can however be higher if this is not set up in an efficient manner).
Scalability	- The server should provide more expandability options than when divided over more than one server (more expensive).	+ Easier to add-in more Application servers if the bottleneck occurs there first (often the case with large numbers of users). - Is no more scalable than 1 server if the database server is the bottleneck.
Availability	- Server is single point of failure	+ If one of the machines fails, the remaining machine can in principle be used for both tasks. Naturally, this is only possible if account has been taken of this eventuality during set-up as the application and database software will have to have been installed on both machines or the file system must be very easy to switch by swapping (preferably redundant) disks. In addition the remaining server must have sufficient processing capacity. This is often one of the most significant considerations for choosing 2 servers, particularly when they can be installed in physically separate locations. In this case the need to consider a fallback contract for pure server capacity will be less urgent. - Fast network connection between both servers is an additional failure risk.
Separation of Production / Acceptance	+ Simpler to introduce by purchasing a 2 <sup>nd</sup> server (if necessary less powerful, but then not representative for performance testing).	- As separate hardware is required for Acceptance, and under ideal circumstances a test environment must be able to simulate the Production environment as closely as possible (and therefore should be as similar as possible), another 2 servers would have to be purchased for this environment.
Specification requirements		+ More detailed specification is possible in relation to the application used.

Category	1 Server	2 Servers
Administration specifications		+ In principle the database server can be administered by a true DBA while the application server can be administered by a purely technical application administrator without these interfering with each other and possibly influencing each other's configuration. Also, two separate individuals could be used for this if one single individual does not possess the knowledge required (although in terms of workload this is certainly not necessary).

Finally, another factor when choosing between 1 or 2 servers can be the servers the customer currently has.