Financial Crime Graph Model Matching Guide Release 8.0.7.3.0 March 2020 E91246.01





Table of Contents

1	Intr	roduction	4
2	Ma	naging Rulesets	6
	2.1	Accessing the Entity Resolution Component	6
	2.2	Creating Rulesets	6
	2.3	Creating Rules in a Ruleset	. 7
	2.3.1	Scoring Method	.8
3	Mat	tching Rulesets 1	0
	3.1	Example	10
	3.1.1	Calculation of Score	14

Financial Crime Graph Model

Copyright © 2020 Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

This software and related documentation are provided under a license agreement containing restrictions on use and disclosure and are protected by intellectual property laws. Except as expressly permitted in your license agreement or allowed by law, you may not use, copy, reproduce, translate, broadcast, modify, license, transmit, distribute, exhibit, perform, publish, or display any part, in any form, or by any means. Reverse engineering, disassembly, or decompilation of this software, unless required by law for interoperability, is prohibited.

The information contained herein is subject to change without notice and is not warranted to be errorfree. If you find any errors, please report them to us in writing.

If this is software or related documentation that is delivered to the U.S. Government or anyone licensing it on behalf of the U.S. Government, then the following notice is applicable:

U.S. GOVERNMENT END USERS: Oracle programs, including any operating system, integrated software, any programs installed on the hardware, and/or documentation, delivered to U.S. Government end users are "commercial computer software" pursuant to the applicable Federal Acquisition Regulation and agency-specific supplemental regulations. As such, use, duplication, disclosure, modification, and adaptation of the programs, including any operating system, integrated software, any programs installed on the hardware, and/or documentation, shall be subject to license terms and license restrictions applicable to the programs. No other rights are granted to the U.S. Government.

This software or hardware is developed for general use in a variety of information management applications. It is not developed or intended for use in any inherently dangerous applications, including applications that may create a risk of personal injury. If you use this software or hardware in dangerous applications, then you shall be responsible to take all appropriate fail-safe, backup, redundancy, and other measures to ensure its safe use. Oracle Corporation and its affiliates disclaim any liability for any damages caused by use of this software or hardware in dangerous applications.

Oracle and Java are registered trademarks of Oracle and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Intel and Intel Xeon are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation. All SPARC trademarks are used under license and are trademarks or registered trademarks of SPARC International, Inc. AMD, Opteron, the AMD logo, and the AMD Opteron logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Advanced Micro Devices. UNIX is a registered trademark of The Open Group.

This software or hardware and documentation may provide access to or information about content, products, and services from third parties. Oracle Corporation and its affiliates are not responsible for and expressly disclaim all warranties of any kind with respect to third-party content, products, and services unless otherwise set forth in an applicable agreement between you and Oracle. Oracle Corporation and its affiliates will not be responsible for any loss, costs, or damages incurred due to your access to or use of third-party content, products, or services, except as set forth in an applicable agreement between you and Oracle.

For information on third party licenses, click here.

Document Control

Version Num- ber	Revision Date	Changes Done	
8.0.7.3.0	Created: March 2020	Created the first version of the Financial Crime Graph Model Matching Guide for v8.0.7.3.0 Release.	

1 Introduction

In FCC Studio, data is obtained from FCDM (Financial Crime Data Model) to generate Financial Crime Graph Model. The graph model includes nodes for entities such as Customers, Accounts, Events, and Derived Entities, and edges for transactions and relationships.

Entity Resolution compares nodes with the objective to identify pairs or groups of nodes that refer to the same entity. Entity Resolution creates Similarity Edges between nodes by comparing the attributes of the nodes and identifying where the similarity is significant enough to create an edge so the nodes are linked with the graph model and can be analyzed as a single entity.

Entity matching rules are used to compare nodes of different types. For example, deduplicating customers, resolving derived entities, or linking customers or derived entities to external data such as panama papers or sanctions lists with different rules and thresholds.

For example:

A customer holds three different accounts in a bank with three different customer details.



Bank						
Customer Details	Customer 1	Customer 2	Customer 3			
Source	Source System 1	Source System 2	Source System 3			
Name	Mary Mathew	Mary Maria Mathew	Mary Steve			
Email	Mary.Mathew@gma il.com	Mary.Mathew@gma il.com	Mary.Steve@gmail.c om			
Phone	Phone Number 1	Phone Number 2	Phone Number 3			
Country	United States	United States	United States			
State	California	California	Washington			
Address	Redwood City	Redwood City	15th St NW			
DOB	1 Jan 1995	1 Jan 1995	1 Jan 1995			
Tax ID	Tax ID 1	-	Tax ID 1			

Table 1: Customer Details

Using Entity Resolution, you can execute the Customer to Customer Ruleset on the customer data to compare the nodes such as Customer Name, Email, Phone, Country, State, Address, DOB, and Tax ID.

The result obtains an exact match on the DOB and TaxID, and fuzzy match on the Customer Name, Email ID, Address, State, and Country, and no match for the phone number.

This helps to derive to a conclusion to draw Similarity Edges between the three customers in the Bank.

Introduction



2 Managing Rulesets

A Ruleset is a set of rules that are applied to the defined source and target entities, compares the attributes of the entities to derive a match.

2.1 Accessing the Entity Resolution Component

To access the Entity Resolution component, enter the URL in the following format into the browser:

http://<Entity Resolution Hostname>:<Entity Resolution Port>/er/Rule.jsp

The Entity Resolution page is displayed with all the out-of-the-box rulesets.

C	ORACLE" Entity Resolution							
Rul	Ruleset (11) +							
	RULESET NAME	DESCRIPTION	AGGREGATION	SOURCE ENTITY	TARGET ENTITY	ENABLE		
	Customer To Ext Source- Paradise Addr	Match Customer Address To External Source - Paradise Address	Maximum	customer	external_address_paradise	✓	Ċ	
	Customer To Ext Source - Panama	Customer To External Source - Panama	Maximum	customer	external_entity_panama		۵	
	Customer to Customer Match	Match Customer to Customer based on their attributes	Maximum	customer	customer	✓	Ċ	
	Customer To Ext Source- Panama Addr	Match Customer Address To External Source - Paradise Address	Maximum	customer	external_address_panama		Ċ	
	Customer To Derived Entity	Customer To Derived Entity	Maximum	customer	derived_entity		۵	
	Derived Entity To Derived Entity	Derived Entity To derived Entity Match	Maximum	derived_entity	derived_entity		۵	
	Customer To Ext Source- Offshore	Match Customer To External Source - Offshore	Maximum	customer	external_entity_offshore		Ċ	

2.2 Creating Rulesets

To create a ruleset, follow these steps:

1. Navigate to the *Entity Resolution* page.

The Rulesets are displayed.

2. Click the **Add** icon

The Ruleset Details page is displayed.

ORACLE Entity Resolution							
Ruleset Details					Back	Reset	
Name Name		Description Description	Scoring Aggregation Type Maximum	Set Threshold Threshold			
Rules (0) 🕂	Source Source Name	7	Target Target Name	*			

3. Enter the following details.

Field	Description
Name	Indicates the name of the ruleset.
Description	Indicates the additional description given for the ruleset.

Field	Description	
Scoring Aggregation Type	Indicates the scoring aggregation method. Select one of the following options:	
	 Maximum: Considers the highest score obtained out of all the rules created for a ruleset. 	
	 Minimum: Considers the lowest score obtained out of all the rules created for a ruleset. 	
Set Threshold	Indicates the threshold value set for a ruleset. A Similarity Edge is generated only when the maximum score obtained for a ruleset is equal to or higher than the threshold value.	
Source	Indicates the source entity (node).	
	The values are auto-populated from the metadata table that contains th elastic search index names generated as a result of running the Sqoop jo	
Target	Indicates the target entity (node).	
	The values are auto-populated from the metadata table that contains the elastic search index names generated as a result of running the Sqoop job.	

2.3 Creating Rules in a Ruleset

To create rules in a ruleset, follow these steps:

- 1. Navigate to a *Ruleset* page.
- 2. Click the **Add** icon **+**.

A *New Rule* section is displayed.

3. Enter the following details.

Field	Description	
Name	Indicates the name of the rule.	
Description	Indicates the description of the rule.	
Rule Threshold	Indicates the threshold value set for a rule. This rule contributes to the match- ing, only when the maximum score obtained for a rule is equal to or higher than the threshold value.	

4. Click the **Add** icon **t** o add new Mappings:

Field	Description
Source Attribute	Indicates the source attribute.
Target Attribute	Indicates the target attribute.

Field	Description	
Match Type	Indicates the match type.	
	Select one of the following options:	
	• Exact: To obtain the matches that are 100% perfect when finding the entities in a database.	
	• Fuzzy: To obtain the matches that are less than 100% perfect when finding the entities in a database.	
Scoring Method	The scoring methods used are as follows:	
	Default	
	Jaro Winkler	
	For more information, see Scoring Method.	
Threshold	Indicates that a score below the mentioned value does not generate a result from the elastic search.	
Weightage	Indicates the weightage given for the attributes in the rule.	
Condition	Indicates that this attribute cannot have a null value. This attribute must be populated and must return a value for the matching.	

2.3.1 Scoring Method

The scoring methods used in the entity resolution component are as follows:

Default Method

The distance is computed by finding the number of edits which transforms one string to another. The transformations allowed are as follows:

- Insertion: Adding a new character
- Deletion: Deleting a character
- Substitution: Replace one character with another

By performing these operations, the algorithm attempts to modify the first string to match the second one. The final result obtained is the edit distance.

For example:

```
a. textdistance.levenshtein('arrow', 'arow')
1
b. >> textdistance.levenshtein.normalized_similarity('arrow', 'arow')
0.8
```

Here, if you insert single 'r' in string 2, that is, 'arow', it becomes same as the string 1. Hence, the edit distance is 1. Similar with Hamming distance, you can generate a bounded similarity score between 0 and 1. The similarity score obtained is 80%.

Jaro Winkler

This algorithms gives high scores for the following strings:

a. The strings that contain same characters, but within a certain distance from one another.

b. The order of the matching characters is same.

To be precise, the distance of finding similar character is one character less than half of the length of the longest string. So if the longest string has a length of five, a character at the start of the string 1 must be found before or on $((5/2)-1) \sim 2nd$ position in the string 2. This is considered a valid match. Hence, the algorithm is directional and gives high score if matching is from the beginning of the strings.

For example:

```
a. textdistance.jaro_winkler("mes", "messi")
0.86
b. textdistance.jaro_winkler("crate", "crat")
0.96
c. textdistance.jaro_winkler("crate", "atcr")
0.0
```

In first case, as the strings are matching from the beginning, high score is given. Similarly, in the second case, only one character was missing and that too at the end of the string 2, hence a very high score is given. In third case, the last two character of string 2 are rearranged by bringing them at front and hence results in 0% similarity.

3 Matching Rulesets

Each ruleset comprises of multiple rules. The ruleset compares the attributes that are defined in the rules for the source entity with the target entity.

A list of rulesets that are packaged with the FCC Studio application are as follows.

Ruleset Name	Source Node Type	Target Node Type
Customer To Customer Match	customer	customer
Customer To Derived Entity	customer	derived_entity
Derived Entity To Derived Entity	derived_entity	derived_entity
Customer To Ext Source - Offshore	customer	external_entity_offshore
Customer To Ext Source - Bahamas	customer	external_entity_bahamas
Customer To Ext Source - Paradise	customer	external_address_paradise
Customer To Ext Source - Panama	customer	external_entity_panama
Customer To Ext Source - Offshore Addr	customer	external_address_offshore
Customer To Ext Source - Bahamas Addr	customer	external_address_bahamas
Customer To Ext Source - Paradise Addr	customer	external_address_panama
Customer To Ext Source - Panama Addr	customer	external_address_paradise

Table 1: List of Rulesets

3.1 Example

A customer holds three different accounts in a bank with three different customer details.

Bank							
Customer Details	Customer 1	Customer 2	Customer 3				
Source	Source System 1	Source System 2	Source System 3				
Name	Mary Mathew	Mary Maria Mathew	Mary Steve				
Email	Mary.Mathew@gma il.com	Mary.Mathew@gma il.com	Mary.Steve@gmail.c om				
Phone	Phone Number 1	Phone Number 2	Phone Number 3				
Country	United States	United States	United States				
State	California	California	Washington				
Address	Redwood City	Redwood City	15th St NW				
DOB	1 Jan 1995	1 Jan 1995	1 Jan 1995				
Tax ID	Tax ID 1	-	Tax ID 1				

The Customer to Customer Match ruleset compares the attributes defined for the source (customer) and target (customer) entities of each rule. If the score of the combination of the result obtained for all the rules in a ruleset is equal to or greater than the threshold set for the ruleset, a Similarity Edge is formed between the source and the target entity.

The Customer to Customer Match ruleset is given a follows:

Ruleset Details			Back	Reset
Name Customer to Customer Match	Description Match Customer to Customer based on their attributes	Scoring Aggregation Type Maximum	Set Threshold 0.75	

The rules and the attributes in the Customer to Customer Match ruleset are as follows.

Table 3: Customer to Customer Ruleset

Ruleset	Rules	Parameters/Attributes	
Customer to Customer Ruleset	Name and Address Match	Name, Alias, Concatenated Name	
		Email	
		Phone	
		Country	
		State	
		Address	
		DOB	
	Tax ID	Tax ID	

Name and Address Ma	tch	Match On Name and Address			0.4
_	(Customer.Name,Alias,Concatenated	d Fuzzy, Weight = 0.4	~		
	(Customer.Name,Alias,Concatena	ated Name)			
_	(Customer Email)	Exact, Weight = 0.2	~	(Customor Email)	
	(Customer.Email)	Exact. Weight = 0.1	>	(oustomer.Email)	
-	(Customer.Phone)		->	(Customer.Phone)	
-	(Customer Country)	Exact, Weight = 0.05	->	(Customer Country)	
	(edeterment of and y)	Exact Weight = 0.05		(00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
•	(Customer.State)		->	(Customer.State)	
-	(Customer.Address)	Fuzzy, Weight = 0.1	->	(Customer. Address)	
		Exact. Weight = 0.1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	(Customer.DOB)		->	(Customer.DOB)	

Table 4: Name and Address Match Rule

Param- eters/ Attri- butes	Source Entity	Target Entity	Matc h Type	Weig htage	Thres hold	Description
Name, Alias, Concat- enated Name	Customer	Customer	Fuzzy	0.4	0.5	 The Name, Alias, and Concate- nated Name attributes of the source entity (Customer) is com- pared with the target entity (Cus- tomer) to obtain a fuzzy match. If the fuzzy match generates a
						result that is equal to or greater than the threshold value (0.5), a weightage of 0.4 is contributed to this match.
Email	Customer	Customer	Exact	0.2	1	• The Email address of the source entity (Customer) is compared with the target entity (Customer) to obtain an exact match.
						 If an exact match is obtained, a weightage of 0.2 is contributed to this match.
Phone	Customer	Customer	Exact	0.1	1	• The phone number of the source entity (Customer) is compared with the target entity (Customer) to obtain an exact match.
						 If an exact match is obtained, a weightage of 0.1 is contributed to this match.

Param- eters/ Attri- butes	Source Entity	Target Entity	Matc h Type	Weig htage	Thres hold	Description
Country	Customer	Customer	Exact	0.05	1	 The country of the source entity (Customer) is compared with the target entity (Customer) to obtain an exact match. If an exact match is obtained, a weightage of 0.05 is contributed to this match.
State	Customer	Customer	Exact	0.05	1	 The state of the source entity (Customer) is compared with tar- get entity (Customer) to obtain an exact match. If an exact match is obtained, a weightage of 0.05 is contributed to this match.
Addres s	Customer	Customer	Fuzzy	0.1	0.6	 The address of the source entity (Customer) is compared with the target entity (Customer) to obtain a fuzzy match. If the fuzzy match generates a result that is equal to or greater than the threshold value (0.6), a weightage of 0.1 is contributed to this match.
DOB	Customer	Customer	Exact	0.1	1	 The date of birth of the source entity (Customer) is compared with the target entity (Customer) to obtain an exact match. If an exact match is obtained, a weightage of 0.1 is contributed to this match.

Table 4: Name and Address Match Rule

Tax ID		Match On TaxID	
	(Customer. Tax ID)	Exact, Weight = 1	> (Customer. Tax ID)

Table 5: Tax ID Rule

Parameters/ Attributes	Source Entity	Target Entity	Match Type	Weight age	Description
Tax ID	Customer	Customer	Exact	1	 The Tax ID of the source entity (Customer) is com- pared with the target entity (customer) to obtain an exact match.
					 If an exact match is obtained, a weightage of 1 is contributed to this match.

3.1.1 Calculation of Score

Table 6: Calculation of Score

Customer Details	Customer 1	Customer 2	Score	Weight (From Rule)	Weighted Score
Name	Mary Mathew	Mary Maria Mathew	93.07	0.4	37.22
Email	Mary.Mathew@gma il.com	Mary.Mathew@gma il.com	100	0.2	20
Phone	Phone Number 1	Phone Number 2	100	0.1	10
Country	United States	United States	100	0.05	5
State	California	California	100	0.05	5
Address	Redwood City	Redwood City	100	0.1	10
DOB	1 Jan 1995	1 Jan 1995	100	0.1	10
				Total=1	Total=97.22

The total score obtained is greater than the rule threshold of 40%, a Similarity Edge is created between Customer1 and Customer 2.

Similar calculation is performed for all possible combination of customers like Customer 2 and Customer 3, Customer 1 and Customer 3.

